

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

HART NAMED APPLICANT SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | 05/10/96 ATTORNEY BOOKEN NO439 22M2/1031 E EXAMENSE J ROSENBLATT & REDANO ONE GREENWAY PLAZA SUITE 500 HOUSTON TX 77046 ART UNIT 220 PAPER NUMBER 10/31/97 DATE MAILED:

> Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION					
THE PER	RIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a) 🗌 is ext	tended to run	or continues to run	from the date of	the final rejection	
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	t's Brief is due in accordance				
Applicant to place t	t's response to the final rejecti the application in condition for	on, filed 10-20-47 has allowance:	peen considered with the foll	owing effect, but it is not deemed	
1. The p	roposed amendments to the	daim and /or specification will not b	e entered and the final rejec	ction stands because:	
a. [_	There is no convincing show presented.	ing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	e proposed amendment is ne	ecessary and was not earlier	
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c. 🗆	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).				
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: The added limitation "in a predetermined order" in base clarks 35					
and 41 would require reconsideration and further search, New claims 45 and 46, if entered, would be allowable over the					
	prior art as w	n'tten,	·		
	y proposed or amended clain on-allowable claims.	ns would be a	lowed if submitted in a sepa	rately filed amendment cancelling	
	the filing an appeal, the prop follows:	osed amendment will be enter	red will not be entered a	nd the status of the claims will	
	ns allowed:	1 112 1111			
	ns objected to: 36-37 and 35 and	and 42-44			
J.a.i.	However;				
	Applicant's response has over	come the following rejection(s):			
4. The	affidavit, exhibit or request for	reconsideration has been conside	red but does not overcome t	he rejection because	
	affidavit or exhibit will not be c ented.	onsidered because applicant has n	ot shown good and sufficent	reasons why it was not earlier	
☐ The propo	sed drawing correction	has as not been approved l	by the examiner.	Out 1. tol.	
Other				J. Wooding Elles	
				J. WOODROW ELDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER	
PTOL-203 (PEV 5-80)				GROUP 220	